

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	łO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,678		09/07/2000	Walter J. Hein	8190-424	1936
826	7590	09/23/2005		EXAMINER	
	N & BIRD	LLP CA PLAZA	BAYAT, BRADLEY B		
_	101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			3621		

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/656,678	HEIN ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bradley B. Bayat	3621				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Bradley B. Bayat, Esq. (USPTO).	(3)					
(2) Andrew T. Spence (Alston & Bird, LLP). (4)						
Date of Interview: <u>15 September 2005</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	ve]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 5</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hurd, II (6,222,535 B1)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□	N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, COFORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	the last Office action has alread OR THE MAILING DATE OF TH IT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF T	dy been filed, APPLICANT IS HIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY				
v						
		Φ.				
·	J blake	fine 1				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	//////////////////////////////////////	nature, if required				

M

Paper No. 09152005

Summary of Record of Intérview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Although no agreement was reached, Mr. Spence discussed the method steps of the claimed invention in detail, especially pertaining to the committee review. Examiner pointed to several issues in the claims and how the rejection reads on a "discussion-capable electronic media" as defined by the specification and providing "a set of resolution directions. Applicant's pre-interview agenda/email submitted follows:

Appl. No.: 09/656,678 Applicant(s): Hein et al. Filed: September 7, 2000

Art Unit: 3621

Examiner: Bayat, Bradley B.

Title: System for Issue Notification, Prioritization, and Resolution and Associated Method

Examiner Bayat,

This communication is being submitted with respect to our telephone interview scheduled for Thursday, September 15, 2005, at 2 PM ET. During our scheduled interview, Applicants plan to generally discuss the first Official Action's rejection of all of the pending claims, namely Claims 1-17, as being anticipated by or unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,222,535 to Hurd. More particularly, Applicants plan to explain that, although the claimed invention and Hurd both generally relate to facilitating issue resolution, the system and method by which the claimed invention facilitates issue resolution is patentably distinct from that of Hurd. In this regard, whereas Hurd discloses electronic forms for defining an issue and proposing a resolution to the issue, the claimed invention makes use of discussion-capable electronic media (configured for posting a plurality of issues and comments).

In addition, the claimed invention sends, to the party assigned to conduct a resolution investigation for an action issue, a set of resolution directions for conducting the investigation. Hurd, on the other hand, notifies the assigned party of an issue assignment and directs the party to retrieve details of the issue, which the party may also receive. In addition, the assigned party may receive the identity of the issue originator, and a time frame within which to resolve the issue. In no event, however, does Hurd disclose providing the assigned party with directions as to how to conduct an investigation for resolving an issue, as recited by the claimed invention.

As explained in response to the first Official Action, further distinctions exist between the claimed invention and Hurd. In an effort to make the most constructive use of out telephone interview, however, Applicants plan to focus on those distinctions outlined above.

Thank you for agreeing to an interview, and please let us know if you have any questions or require any further information. Otherwise, we will plan to call you at 2 PM ET on Thursday to conduct the telephone interview as scheduled.

Regards,
Andrew T. Spence
Registration No. 45,699
Alston & Bird, LLP
Bank of America Plaza
101 South Tryon St., Suite 4000
Charlotte, NC 28280-4000

Phone: (704) 444-1411; Fax: (704) 444-1681

email: aspence@alston.com http://www.alston.com